





Assemblymember Sarah Peters, Chair Senator Fabian Donate, Vice Chair Joint Interim Standing Committee on Health and Human Services Nevada Legislature 401 S. Carson St. Carson City, NV 89701

Dear Chair Peters:

We appreciate the review of the implementation of Senate Bill 420 by the Joint Interim Standing Committee on Health and Human Services ("Interim Health"). Our coalition has offered multiple letters, comment, actuarial questions, and major policy considerations to be included within the initial actuarial assessment as provided for in Sec 11(2) and Sec. 39 of Senate Bill 420.

Recent presentations by the State and its consultants have, so far, been focused on a review of broad concepts within SB420 by the Division of Health Care Financing and Policy ("Division") and its consultants. The Sec. 11(2) and Sec. 39 actuarial review of the health care and health insurance markets in Nevada require a two-way collaborative discussion and ultimately an actual survey of physicians, hospitals, and health plans and we continue to look forward to that component of the process.

Over our multiple letters we have noted many issues. These issues would benefit from feedback from policy makers.

Additionally, there are certain questions that absent clear, direct answers preclude legitimate direction from either the stakeholders or the State regarding the Public Option and are critical to understanding its implementation.

1) What exactly is the Public Option as defined in SB420?¹

- a. Is the plan operated and paid for by the State and optionally administered by a managed care organization (MCO) similar to Medicaid as described in SB 420? (Section 10(1) and Section 12((1), (4) (5) and (6)) Or;
- b. Is it a fully insured health plan, offered by an insurer, who ultimately bears the risk of loss?

2) What specific federal requirement(s) would the state be seeking to waive in its 1332 Waiver?

- a. A fully insured health plan, underwritten by a licensed insurer as a Qualified Health Plan ("QHP") at a premium at least 5% lower than the second lowest priced Silver plan on the Exchange would not require any waiver.²
- b. Sec. 11(4) specifically prohibits the state from requesting a waiver any condition of eligibility to purchase a QHP on the Exchange.

¹ See, Manatt Health, Senate Concurrent Resolution No. 10 Study: Evaluating Public Health Insurance Plan Options for Nevada Residents. January 2021. https://www.manatt.com/Manatt/media/Documents/Articles/Manatt-Health_Nevada-Concurrent-Resolution-No-10-Public-Option-Study_January-17-2021.pdf . Page 23

² *Ibid*, at p. 15







3) Will DHCFP be conducting two (2) separate actuarial analyses?

- a. The mandatory initial actuarial analysis must focus on "the impact of the Public Option on the markets for health care and health insurance." Engagement meetings to date have focused on plan design, population, and overall goals without any discussion on analytical tools to survey the markets about the effects on the health care or health insurance market such as:
 - i. Will benchmarking rates to the second-lowest Silver QHP ultimately harm overall subsidies received by the state?
 - ii. Will rates comparable to Medicare exacerbate Nevada's access to care problem?³

These are base level questions and must be understood and answered to inform all subsequent discussions. These questions do not "relitigate" the policy of SB420, rather they represent the foundation from which meaningful engagement begins.

We appreciate Interim Health's review of SB420 and the Division's continued stakeholder engagement.

cc. Nevada Division of Health Care Financing and Policy

_

³ *Ibid*, at p. 24. "Under tying, ensuring a competitive provider reimbursement rate in the public option—one that is above Medicaid rates—will be essential for preventing a potential erosion of Medicaid participation."

Subject: FW: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

Date: Wednesday, January 12, 2022 6:41:23 AM

Aida Blankenship Executive Assistant Nevada Department of Health and Human Services Division of Health Care Financing and Policy|Las Vegas District Office

----Original Message-----

From: Candace LaPorte <team@speak4.co> Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2022 10:00 PM

To: DHHS DO NVpublicoption < NVpublicoption@dhhs.nv.gov>

Subject: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

WARNING - This email originated from outside the State of Nevada. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

January 12, 2022 @ 05:56am

Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option Health

Dear Nevada DHHS.

I am writing you today to share the serious concerns I have about the state government option.

Nevada residents are relying on our health care system now more than ever and, during this critical time, we need strong, stable health care that meets the needs of ALL Nevadans, no matter where they live or work. The state government option is the wrong choice to meet this goal.

The negative consequences of the state government option could be far reaching for Nevada families. It could raise costs, while reducing access to the high-quality care Nevada families are counting on. Furthermore, Nevada hospitals are already dealing with shortages of the doctors and nurses needed to provide care to every patient and the state government option could worsen this crisis – threatening my access to care.

I encourage you to slow down and consider the many negative consequences this system could have for Nevada patients before implementing it.

Sincerely, Candace LaPorte

3167 A Arizona Ave , Great Lakes , IL 60088 candiphantom@aol.com 702-889-4367

Subject: FW: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

Date: Wednesday, January 12, 2022 6:41:21 AM

Aida Blankenship Executive Assistant Nevada Department of Health and Human Services Division of Health Care Financing and Policy|Las Vegas District Office

----Original Message-----

From: Jennifer Messina <team@speak4.co> Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2022 6:40 PM

To: DHHS DO NVpublicoption < NVpublicoption@dhhs.nv.gov>

Subject: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

WARNING - This email originated from outside the State of Nevada. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

January 12, 2022 @ 02:31am

Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option Health

Dear Nevada DHHS.

I am writing you today to share the serious concerns I have about the state government option.

Nevada residents are relying on our health care system now more than ever and, during this critical time, we need strong, stable health care that meets the needs of ALL Nevadans, no matter where they live or work. The state government option is the wrong choice to meet this goal.

The negative consequences of the state government option could be far reaching for Nevada families. It could raise costs, while reducing access to the high-quality care Nevada families are counting on. Furthermore, Nevada hospitals are already dealing with shortages of the doctors and nurses needed to provide care to every patient and the state government option could worsen this crisis – threatening my access to care.

I encourage you to slow down and consider the many negative consequences this system could have for Nevada patients before implementing it.

Sincerely, Jennifer Messina

234 Noneya , Ely , NV 89301 jjnmessina@yahoo.com 775-289-6927

Subject: FW: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

Date: Wednesday, January 12, 2022 6:41:07 AM

Aida Blankenship Executive Assistant Nevada Department of Health and Human Services Division of Health Care Financing and Policy|Las Vegas District Office

----Original Message----

From: JEFFREY LIND <team@speak4.co> Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2022 5:40 PM

To: DHHS DO NVpublicoption < NVpublicoption@dhhs.nv.gov>

Subject: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

WARNING - This email originated from outside the State of Nevada. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

January 12, 2022 @ 01:35am

Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option Health

Dear Nevada DHHS.

I am writing you today to share the serious concerns I have about the state government option.

Nevada residents are relying on our health care system now more than ever and, during this critical time, we need strong, stable health care that meets the needs of ALL Nevadans, no matter where they live or work. The state government option is the wrong choice to meet this goal.

The negative consequences of the state government option could be far reaching for Nevada families. It could raise costs, while reducing access to the high-quality care Nevada families are counting on. Furthermore, Nevada hospitals are already dealing with shortages of the doctors and nurses needed to provide care to every patient and the state government option could worsen this crisis – threatening my access to care.

I encourage you to slow down and consider the many negative consequences this system could have for Nevada patients before implementing it.

Sincerely, JEFFREY LIND

1711 SPOTTED PONY DR, NORTH LAS VEGAS, NV 89031 jeffrey_lind@juno.com 702-399-4248

Subject: FW: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

Date: Wednesday, January 12, 2022 6:41:01 AM

Aida Blankenship
Executive Assistant
Nevada Department of Health and Human Services
Division of Health Care Financing and Policy|Las Vegas District Office

----Original Message-----

From: William Doud <team@speak4.co> Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2022 5:10 PM

To: DHHS DO NVpublicoption < NVpublicoption@dhhs.nv.gov>

Subject: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

WARNING - This email originated from outside the State of Nevada. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

January 12, 2022 @ 01:07am

Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option Health

Dear Nevada DHHS.

I am writing you today to share the serious concerns I have about the state government option.

Nevada residents are relying on our health care system now more than ever and, during this critical time, we need strong, stable health care that meets the needs of ALL Nevadans, no matter where they live or work. The state government option is the wrong choice to meet this goal.

The negative consequences of the state government option could be far reaching for Nevada families. It could raise costs, while reducing access to the high-quality care Nevada families are counting on. Furthermore, Nevada hospitals are already dealing with shortages of the doctors and nurses needed to provide care to every patient and the state government option could worsen this crisis – threatening my access to care.

I encourage you to slow down and consider the many negative consequences this system could have for Nevada patients before implementing it.

Sincerely, William Doud

325 W Boston Ave, Las Vegas, NV 89102 williamdoud@yahoo.com 702-203-5678

Subject: FW: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

Date: Wednesday, January 12, 2022 6:40:55 AM

Aida Blankenship Executive Assistant Nevada Department of Health and Human Services Division of Health Care Financing and Policy|Las Vegas District Office

----Original Message-----

From: James Geddes <team@speak4.co> Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2022 4:10 PM

To: DHHS DO NVpublicoption < NVpublicoption@dhhs.nv.gov>

Subject: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

WARNING - This email originated from outside the State of Nevada. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

January 12, 2022 @ 12:02am

Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option Health

Dear Nevada DHHS.

I am writing you today to share the serious concerns I have about the state government option.

Nevada residents are relying on our health care system now more than ever and, during this critical time, we need strong, stable health care that meets the needs of ALL Nevadans, no matter where they live or work. The state government option is the wrong choice to meet this goal.

The negative consequences of the state government option could be far reaching for Nevada families. It could raise costs, while reducing access to the high-quality care Nevada families are counting on. Furthermore, Nevada hospitals are already dealing with shortages of the doctors and nurses needed to provide care to every patient and the state government option could worsen this crisis – threatening my access to care.

I encourage you to slow down and consider the many negative consequences this system could have for Nevada patients before implementing it.

Sincerely, James Geddes

33 Villanelle Ave, Henderson, NV 89044 cpines64@centurylink.net 702-478-8549

Subject: FW: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

Date: Wednesday, January 12, 2022 6:40:48 AM

Aida Blankenship
Executive Assistant
Nevada Department of Health and Human Services
Division of Health Care Financing and Policy|Las Vegas District Office

----Original Message-----

From: Sharon Kirkpatrick <team@speak4.co> Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2022 4:00 PM

To: DHHS DO NVpublicoption < NVpublicoption@dhhs.nv.gov>

Subject: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

WARNING - This email originated from outside the State of Nevada. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

January 11, 2022 @ 11:51pm

Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option Health

Dear Nevada DHHS.

I am writing you today to share the serious concerns I have about the state government option.

Nevada residents are relying on our health care system now more than ever and, during this critical time, we need strong, stable health care that meets the needs of ALL Nevadans, no matter where they live or work. The state government option is the wrong choice to meet this goal.

The negative consequences of the state government option could be far reaching for Nevada families. It could raise costs, while reducing access to the high-quality care Nevada families are counting on. Furthermore, Nevada hospitals are already dealing with shortages of the doctors and nurses needed to provide care to every patient and the state government option could worsen this crisis – threatening my access to care.

I encourage you to slow down and consider the many negative consequences this system could have for Nevada patients before implementing it.

Sincerely, Sharon Kirkpatrick

496 Torrey Pines Dr., Fallon, NV 89406 horseshoek69@yahoo.com 775-217-0211

Subject: FW: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

Date: Wednesday, January 12, 2022 6:40:42 AM

Aida Blankenship Executive Assistant Nevada Department of Health and Human Services Division of Health Care Financing and Policy|Las Vegas District Office

----Original Message----

From: Louise Ruck <team@speak4.co> Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2022 3:30 PM

To: DHHS DO NVpublicoption < NVpublicoption@dhhs.nv.gov>

Subject: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

WARNING - This email originated from outside the State of Nevada. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

January 11, 2022 @ 11:20pm

Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option Health

Dear Nevada DHHS.

I am writing you today to share the serious concerns I have about the state government option.

Nevada residents are relying on our health care system now more than ever and, during this critical time, we need strong, stable health care that meets the needs of ALL Nevadans, no matter where they live or work. The state government option is the wrong choice to meet this goal.

The negative consequences of the state government option could be far reaching for Nevada families. It could raise costs, while reducing access to the high-quality care Nevada families are counting on. Furthermore, Nevada hospitals are already dealing with shortages of the doctors and nurses needed to provide care to every patient and the state government option could worsen this crisis – threatening my access to care.

I encourage you to slow down and consider the many negative consequences this system could have for Nevada patients before implementing it.

Sincerely, Louise Ruck

5475 Tamarus Street, Las Vegas, NV 89119 louiseruck@icloud.com 702-927-8121

Subject: FW: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

Date: Wednesday, January 12, 2022 6:40:36 AM

Aida Blankenship Executive Assistant Nevada Department of Health and Human Services Division of Health Care Financing and Policy|Las Vegas District Office

----Original Message-----

From: Adrian Burke <team@speak4.co> Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2022 2:50 PM

To: DHHS DO NVpublicoption < NVpublicoption@dhhs.nv.gov>

Subject: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

WARNING - This email originated from outside the State of Nevada. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

January 11, 2022 @ 10:41pm

Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option Health

Dear Nevada DHHS.

I am writing you today to share the serious concerns I have about the state government option.

Nevada residents are relying on our health care system now more than ever and, during this critical time, we need strong, stable health care that meets the needs of ALL Nevadans, no matter where they live or work. The state government option is the wrong choice to meet this goal.

The negative consequences of the state government option could be far reaching for Nevada families. It could raise costs, while reducing access to the high-quality care Nevada families are counting on. Furthermore, Nevada hospitals are already dealing with shortages of the doctors and nurses needed to provide care to every patient and the state government option could worsen this crisis – threatening my access to care.

I encourage you to slow down and consider the many negative consequences this system could have for Nevada patients before implementing it.

Sincerely, Adrian Burke

886 DEL REY DR, BOULDER CITY, NV 89005 apb7898@aol.com 702-655-6119

Subject: FW: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

Date: Wednesday, January 12, 2022 6:40:28 AM

Aida Blankenship Executive Assistant Nevada Department of Health and Human Services Division of Health Care Financing and Policy|Las Vegas District Office

----Original Message-----

From: Jane Mellors <team@speak4.co> Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2022 2:50 PM

To: DHHS DO NVpublicoption < NVpublicoption@dhhs.nv.gov>

Subject: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

WARNING - This email originated from outside the State of Nevada. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

January 11, 2022 @ 10:46pm

Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option Health

Dear Nevada DHHS.

I am writing you today to share the serious concerns I have about the state government option.

Nevada residents are relying on our health care system now more than ever and, during this critical time, we need strong, stable health care that meets the needs of ALL Nevadans, no matter where they live or work. The state government option is the wrong choice to meet this goal.

The negative consequences of the state government option could be far reaching for Nevada families. It could raise costs, while reducing access to the high-quality care Nevada families are counting on. Furthermore, Nevada hospitals are already dealing with shortages of the doctors and nurses needed to provide care to every patient and the state government option could worsen this crisis – threatening my access to care.

I encourage you to slow down and consider the many negative consequences this system could have for Nevada patients before implementing it.

Sincerely, Jane Mellors

5665 Rue St Tropez, Reno, NV 89511 items-scuba-00@icloud.com 775-852-3142

Subject: FW: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

Date: Wednesday, January 12, 2022 6:40:21 AM

Aida Blankenship Executive Assistant Nevada Department of Health and Human Services Division of Health Care Financing and Policy|Las Vegas District Office

----Original Message----

From: Joseph Orlando <team@speak4.co> Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2022 2:40 PM

To: DHHS DO NVpublicoption < NVpublicoption@dhhs.nv.gov>

Subject: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

WARNING - This email originated from outside the State of Nevada. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

January 11, 2022 @ 10:36pm

Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option Health

Dear Nevada DHHS.

I am writing you today to share the serious concerns I have about the state government option.

Nevada residents are relying on our health care system now more than ever and, during this critical time, we need strong, stable health care that meets the needs of ALL Nevadans, no matter where they live or work. The state government option is the wrong choice to meet this goal.

The negative consequences of the state government option could be far reaching for Nevada families. It could raise costs, while reducing access to the high-quality care Nevada families are counting on. Furthermore, Nevada hospitals are already dealing with shortages of the doctors and nurses needed to provide care to every patient and the state government option could worsen this crisis – threatening my access to care.

I encourage you to slow down and consider the many negative consequences this system could have for Nevada patients before implementing it.

Sincerely, Joseph Orlando

1704 Ardmore St, Las Vegas, NV 89104 jsph_orlando@yahoo.com 702-205-5573

Subject: FW: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

Date: Wednesday, January 12, 2022 6:40:15 AM

Good morning, forwarding a few of the same public comment "Nevadans Deserve..." Thanks.

-Aida

Aida Blankenship
Executive Assistant
Nevada Department of Health and Human Services
Division of Health Care Financing and Policy|Las Vegas District Office

----Original Message----

From: George Adornato <team@speak4.co> Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2022 2:40 PM

To: DHHS DO NVpublicoption < NVpublicoption@dhhs.nv.gov>

Subject: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

WARNING - This email originated from outside the State of Nevada. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

January 11, 2022 @ 10:35pm

Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option Health

Dear Nevada DHHS,

I am writing you today to share the serious concerns I have about the state government option.

Nevada residents are relying on our health care system now more than ever and, during this critical time, we need strong, stable health care that meets the needs of ALL Nevadans, no matter where they live or work. The state government option is the wrong choice to meet this goal.

The negative consequences of the state government option could be far reaching for Nevada families. It could raise costs, while reducing access to the high-quality care Nevada families are counting on. Furthermore, Nevada hospitals are already dealing with shortages of the doctors and nurses needed to provide care to every patient and the state government option could worsen this crisis – threatening my access to care.

I encourage you to slow down and consider the many negative consequences this system could have for Nevada patients before implementing it.

Sincerely, George Adornato

3712 Liberator way, north Las Vegas, NV 89031 ebe918@aol.com 702-647-7908

Subject: FW: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

Date: Thursday, January 13, 2022 8:37:20 AM

Aida Blankenship Executive Assistant Nevada Department of Health and Human Services Division of Health Care Financing and Policy|Las Vegas District Office

----Original Message-----

From: Richard Gifford <team@speak4.co> Sent: Thursday, January 13, 2022 6:50 AM

To: DHHS DO NVpublicoption < NVpublicoption@dhhs.nv.gov>

Subject: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

WARNING - This email originated from outside the State of Nevada. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

January 13, 2022 @ 02:49pm

Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option Health

Dear Nevada DHHS.

I am writing you today to share the serious concerns I have about the state government option.

Nevada residents are relying on our health care system now more than ever and, during this critical time, we need strong, stable health care that meets the needs of ALL Nevadans, no matter where they live or work. The state government option is the wrong choice to meet this goal.

The negative consequences of the state government option could be far reaching for Nevada families. It could raise costs, while reducing access to the high-quality care Nevada families are counting on. Furthermore, Nevada hospitals are already dealing with shortages of the doctors and nurses needed to provide care to every patient and the state government option could worsen this crisis – threatening my access to care.

I encourage you to slow down and consider the many negative consequences this system could have for Nevada patients before implementing it.

Sincerely, Richard Gifford

5790 Yukon Dr, Sun Valley, NV 89433 rfree1776@hotmail.com 775-224-3438

Subject: FW: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

Date: Thursday, January 13, 2022 8:37:13 AM

Aida Blankenship Executive Assistant Nevada Department of Health and Human Services Division of Health Care Financing and Policy|Las Vegas District Office

----Original Message-----

From: Jane Mellors <team@speak4.co> Sent: Thursday, January 13, 2022 3:40 AM

To: DHHS DO NVpublicoption < NVpublicoption@dhhs.nv.gov>

Subject: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

WARNING - This email originated from outside the State of Nevada. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

January 13, 2022 @ 11:39am

Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option Health

Dear Nevada DHHS.

I am writing you today to share the serious concerns I have about the state government option.

Nevada residents are relying on our health care system now more than ever and, during this critical time, we need strong, stable health care that meets the needs of ALL Nevadans, no matter where they live or work. The state government option is the wrong choice to meet this goal.

The negative consequences of the state government option could be far reaching for Nevada families. It could raise costs, while reducing access to the high-quality care Nevada families are counting on. Furthermore, Nevada hospitals are already dealing with shortages of the doctors and nurses needed to provide care to every patient and the state government option could worsen this crisis – threatening my access to care.

I encourage you to slow down and consider the many negative consequences this system could have for Nevada patients before implementing it.

Sincerely, Jane Mellors

5665 Rue St Tropez, Reno, NV 89511 items-scuba-00@icloud.com 775-852-3142

Subject: FW: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

Date: Thursday, January 13, 2022 8:37:07 AM

Aida Blankenship Executive Assistant Nevada Department of Health and Human Services Division of Health Care Financing and Policy|Las Vegas District Office

----Original Message-----

From: John Kolacz <team@speak4.co> Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2022 10:40 AM

To: DHHS DO NVpublicoption < NVpublicoption@dhhs.nv.gov>

Subject: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

WARNING - This email originated from outside the State of Nevada. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

January 12, 2022 @ 06:37pm

Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option Health

Dear Nevada DHHS.

I am writing you today to share the serious concerns I have about the state government option.

Nevada residents are relying on our health care system now more than ever and, during this critical time, we need strong, stable health care that meets the needs of ALL Nevadans, no matter where they live or work. The state government option is the wrong choice to meet this goal.

The negative consequences of the state government option could be far reaching for Nevada families. It could raise costs, while reducing access to the high-quality care Nevada families are counting on. Furthermore, Nevada hospitals are already dealing with shortages of the doctors and nurses needed to provide care to every patient and the state government option could worsen this crisis – threatening my access to care.

I encourage you to slow down and consider the many negative consequences this system could have for Nevada patients before implementing it.

Sincerely, John Kolacz

7951 W Charleston Blvd #11, Las Vegas, NV 89117 sigman702@centurylink.net 702-205-0355

Subject: FW: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

Date: Thursday, January 13, 2022 8:37:01 AM

Aida Blankenship Executive Assistant Nevada Department of Health and Human Services Division of Health Care Financing and Policy|Las Vegas District Office

----Original Message-----

From: Donna B Bubb <team@speak4.co> Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2022 9:40 AM

To: DHHS DO NVpublicoption < NVpublicoption@dhhs.nv.gov>

Subject: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

WARNING - This email originated from outside the State of Nevada. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

January 12, 2022 @ 05:31pm

Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option Health

Dear Nevada DHHS.

I am writing you today to share the serious concerns I have about the state government option.

Nevada residents are relying on our health care system now more than ever and, during this critical time, we need strong, stable health care that meets the needs of ALL Nevadans, no matter where they live or work. The state government option is the wrong choice to meet this goal.

The negative consequences of the state government option could be far reaching for Nevada families. It could raise costs, while reducing access to the high-quality care Nevada families are counting on. Furthermore, Nevada hospitals are already dealing with shortages of the doctors and nurses needed to provide care to every patient and the state government option could worsen this crisis – threatening my access to care.

I encourage you to slow down and consider the many negative consequences this system could have for Nevada patients before implementing it.

Sincerely, Donna B Bubb

213 Misty Garden St, Henderson, NV 89012 donnabubb@yahoo.com 702-701-1448

Subject: FW: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

Date: Thursday, January 13, 2022 8:36:54 AM

Aida Blankenship Executive Assistant Nevada Department of Health and Human Services Division of Health Care Financing and Policy|Las Vegas District Office

----Original Message-----

From: Joan Burkett <team@speak4.co> Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2022 8:30 AM

To: DHHS DO NVpublicoption < NVpublicoption@dhhs.nv.gov>

Subject: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

WARNING - This email originated from outside the State of Nevada. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

January 12, 2022 @ 04:26pm

Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option Health

Dear Nevada DHHS.

I am writing you today to share the serious concerns I have about the state government option.

Nevada residents are relying on our health care system now more than ever and, during this critical time, we need strong, stable health care that meets the needs of ALL Nevadans, no matter where they live or work. The state government option is the wrong choice to meet this goal.

The negative consequences of the state government option could be far reaching for Nevada families. It could raise costs, while reducing access to the high-quality care Nevada families are counting on. Furthermore, Nevada hospitals are already dealing with shortages of the doctors and nurses needed to provide care to every patient and the state government option could worsen this crisis – threatening my access to care.

I encourage you to slow down and consider the many negative consequences this system could have for Nevada patients before implementing it.

Sincerely, Joan Burkett

1055 Crown Dr, Reno, NV 89503 burkett.j@sbcglobal.net 775-453-9050

Subject: FW: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

Date: Thursday, January 13, 2022 8:36:47 AM

More "Nevadans Deserve" comments coming your way including this one. Thanks.

-Aida

Aida Blankenship
Executive Assistant
Nevada Department of Health and Human Services
Division of Health Care Financing and Policy|Las Vegas District Office

----Original Message----

From: Sylvia Mills <team@speak4.co> Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2022 6:50 AM

To: DHHS DO NVpublicoption < NVpublicoption@dhhs.nv.gov>

Subject: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

WARNING - This email originated from outside the State of Nevada. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

January 12, 2022 @ 02:42pm

Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option Health

Dear Nevada DHHS,

I am writing you today to share the serious concerns I have about the state government option.

Nevada residents are relying on our health care system now more than ever and, during this critical time, we need strong, stable health care that meets the needs of ALL Nevadans, no matter where they live or work. The state government option is the wrong choice to meet this goal.

The negative consequences of the state government option could be far reaching for Nevada families. It could raise costs, while reducing access to the high-quality care Nevada families are counting on. Furthermore, Nevada hospitals are already dealing with shortages of the doctors and nurses needed to provide care to every patient and the state government option could worsen this crisis – threatening my access to care.

I encourage you to slow down and consider the many negative consequences this system could have for Nevada patients before implementing it.

Sincerely, Sylvia Mills

685 Sari Drive, Las Vegas, NV 89110 SylCurt@aol.com 928-716-4604 From: DHHS DO NVpublicoption

To: <u>Knab, Kameron</u>
Cc: <u>Kyril Plaskon</u>

Subject: FW: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

Date: Monday, January 10, 2022 8:13:23 AM

Good morning Kam and Ky,

Another comment "NV Deserves" thanks.

-Aida

Aida Blankenship
Executive Assistant
Nevada Department of Health and Human Services
Division of Health Care Financing and Policy|Las Vegas District Office

----Original Message----

From: Eileen Dugan <team@speak4.co> Sent: Saturday, January 8, 2022 9:40 PM

To: DHHS DO NVpublicoption < NVpublicoption@dhhs.nv.gov>

Subject: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

WARNING - This email originated from outside the State of Nevada. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

January 09, 2022 @ 05:36am

Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option Health

Dear Nevada DHHS,

I am writing you today to share the serious concerns I have about the state government option.

Nevada residents are relying on our health care system now more than ever and, during this critical time, we need strong, stable health care that meets the needs of ALL Nevadans, no matter where they live or work. The state government option is the wrong choice to meet this goal.

The negative consequences of the state government option could be far reaching for Nevada families. It could raise costs, while reducing access to the high-quality care Nevada families are counting on. Furthermore, Nevada hospitals are already dealing with shortages of the doctors and nurses needed to provide care to every patient and the state government option could worsen this crisis – threatening my access to care.

I encourage you to slow down and consider the many negative consequences this system could have for Nevada patients before implementing it.

Sincerely, Eileen Dugan

354 Bayhill Cir, Dayton, NV 89403 eileendugan@yahoo.com 775-301-6120 From: <u>DHHS DO NVpublicoption</u>

To: <u>Knab, Kameron</u>
Cc: <u>Kyril Plaskon</u>

Subject: FW: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

Date: Monday, January 10, 2022 8:14:06 AM

FYI

Aida Blankenship
Executive Assistant
Nevada Department of Health and Human Services
Division of Health Care Financing and Policy|Las Vegas District Office

----Original Message-----

From: Betty Beltran <team@speak4.co> Sent: Friday, January 7, 2022 10:40 AM

To: DHHS DO NVpublicoption < NVpublicoption@dhhs.nv.gov>

Subject: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

WARNING - This email originated from outside the State of Nevada. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

January 07, 2022 @ 06:31pm

Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option Health

Dear Nevada DHHS,

I am writing you today to share the serious concerns I have about the state government option.

Nevada residents are relying on our health care system now more than ever and, during this critical time, we need strong, stable health care that meets the needs of ALL Nevadans, no matter where they live or work. The state government option is the wrong choice to meet this goal.

The negative consequences of the state government option could be far reaching for Nevada families. It could raise costs, while reducing access to the high-quality care Nevada families are counting on. Furthermore, Nevada hospitals are already dealing with shortages of the doctors and nurses needed to provide care to every patient and the state government option could worsen this crisis – threatening my access to care.

I encourage you to slow down and consider the many negative consequences this system could have for Nevada patients before implementing it.

Sincerely, Betty Beltran

1705 Sequoia Dr, Henderson , NV 89014 texpaso@yahoo.com 915-549-4096 From: DHHS DO NVpublicoption

To: Kyril Plaskon; Knab, Kameron

Subject: FW: Public Comment from Dr. Reeta Thukral

Date: Tuesday, January 18, 2022 9:10:50 AM

Hi again, forwarding this public comment for your records. Thanks.

-Aida

Aida Blankenship

Executive Assistant

Nevada Department of Health and Human Services

Division of Health Care Financing and Policy | Las Vegas District Office

From: Jodi Helsel <jodi@committeetoprotect.org>

Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2022 8:13 AM

To: DHHS DO NVpublicoption < NVpublicoption@dhhs.nv.gov>

Subject: Public Comment from Dr. Reeta Thukral

<u>WARNING</u> - This email originated from outside the State of Nevada. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Preview attachment 1.18.22 NV Public Option Implementation Comment - Google Docs.pdf

 1.18.22 NV Publ 32 KB	 ic Option Implementation Comment - Google Docs.pdf
 Iodi Helsel	

she/her
Organizing Lead | Committee to Protect Health Care
619-433-9258
www.committeetoprotect.org

From: DHHS DO NVpublicoption
To: Kyril Plaskon; Knab, Kameron

Subject: FW: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

Date: Tuesday, January 18, 2022 9:10:24 AM

Good morning, forwarding this public comment for your records. Thanks.

-Aida

Aida Blankenship
Executive Assistant
Nevada Department of Health and Human Services
Division of Health Care Financing and Policy|Las Vegas District Office

----Original Message----

From: Jenny Goade <team@speak4.co> Sent: Friday, January 14, 2022 7:30 PM

To: DHHS DO NVpublicoption < NVpublicoption@dhhs.nv.gov>

Subject: Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option

WARNING - This email originated from outside the State of Nevada. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

January 15, 2022 @ 03:23am

Nevadans Deserve Better Than An Unaffordable, One-Size-Fits-All State Government Option Health

Dear Nevada DHHS,

I am writing you today to share the serious concerns I have about the state government option.

Nevada residents are relying on our health care system now more than ever and, during this critical time, we need strong, stable health care that meets the needs of ALL Nevadans, no matter where they live or work. The state government option is the wrong choice to meet this goal.

The negative consequences of the state government option could be far reaching for Nevada families. It could raise costs, while reducing access to the high-quality care Nevada families are counting on. Furthermore, Nevada hospitals are already dealing with shortages of the doctors and nurses needed to provide care to every patient and the state government option could worsen this crisis – threatening my access to care.

I encourage you to slow down and consider the many negative consequences this system could have for Nevada patients before implementing it.

Sincerely, Jenny Goade

170 Guildwood Dr, Sun Valley, NV 89433 JJJGOADE@ATT.NET 775-846-5655