As a Board Member of Nevada Disability Advocacy and Law Center (NDALC), I strongly support the public comment submitted by NDALC and oppose the proposal entitled "Betty's Village."

As articulated in the comments contributed by NDALC, the final Home and Community-Based Services (HCBS) regulations promote full community integration for individuals with disabilities by providing the opportunity for individuals to receive long term services and supports in the most integrated setting possible, rather than an institution.

The regulations define an integrated setting as one in which an individual with a disability receiving HCBS has "full access to the greater community, including opportunities to seek employment and work in competitive integrated settings, engage in community life, control personal resources, and receive services in the community, to the same degree of access as individuals not receiving HCBS." 42 CFR 441.530(a)(1). The regulations are clear that HCBS may not be provided in institutional settings, or settings with institutional qualities. 42 CFR 441.530(a)(2).

In addition to recognizing the importance of ensuring equal community access for individuals with disabilities, the new rule complements the *Olmstead* decision, which held that states must provide services to individuals with disabilities in "the most integrated setting appropriate to their needs." *Olmstead v. L.C.*, 527 U.S. 581 (1999).

As a Board member of NDALC, I am concerned that the Betty's Village proposal does not comport with the intent of the HCBS regulations to maximize the community integration and freedom of choice for individuals with disabilities. Betty's Village is a disability-specific facility that will house 100 individuals with intellectual disabilities on the grounds of a supported employment campus, segregated from the community at large. In addition, the following other characteristics suggest that Betty's Village may have impermissible "institutional qualities:"

- <u>Choice of providers</u>: As in an institution, Betty's Village employees will provide everything from roundthe-clock supervision to meal preparation, activity planning, financial management, and nursing services. This arrangement limits residents' choice of providers.
- <u>Access to food and scheduling autonomy</u>: Meals will be prepared by employees and served to building residents in a communal dining area. Many individual rooms do not include kitchens, and it is unclear whether residents will have access to food or meal preparation space in the communal kitchen. The regulations require that individuals "control their own schedules and ... have access to food at any time. 42 CFR 441.530(a)(1)(vi)(C).
- <u>Landlord-tenant protections</u>: The proposal did not indicate whether residents would occupy individual units under a "legally enforceable agreement" with the same protections as provided under Nevada's landlord-tenant law, as required by the regulations. 42 CFR 441.530(a)(1)(vi)(A).
- <u>Visitors</u>: The proposal states that Betty's Village will offer designated areas for residents to visit with family and friends. However, the proposal is unclear whether residents will be allowed to have "visitors of their choosing at any time," as the regulations mandate. It may be difficult for Betty's Village to offer flexible visiting hours because of the communal design of its facilities. 42 CFR 441.530(a)(1)(vi)(D).
- <u>Lockable Doors</u>: The proposal does not state whether individual units will have the required lockable doors. 42 CFR 441.530(a)(1)(vi)(D).

Nevada has limited resources for HCBS programs. In fact, there are currently individuals who remain institutionalized or at risk of institutionalization because there is a wait list for HCBS. As an NDALC Board Member, I strongly encourage the State to focus its resources on truly integrated long term services and supports, in order to comply with the HCBS rules and the *Olmstead* decision, and to support individuals with disabilities in fully participating in community life.

Sincerely, Alex Cherup NDALC Board Member Cell: <u>248-703-4158</u> <u>ancherup@gmail.com</u>